

Memo Date: January 10, 2007 Order Date: January 23, 2007

TO:

Board of County Commissioners

DEPARTMENT:

Public Works Dept./Land Management Division

PRESENTED BY:

BILL VANVACTOR, COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR

KENT HOWE, PLANNING DIRECTOR

AGENDA ITEM TITLE:

In the Matter of Considering a Ballot Measure 37 Claim and Deciding Whether to Modify, Remove or Not Apply Restrictive Land Use Regulations in Lieu of Providing Just

Compensation (PA05-6611, Ralph Keeney)

BACKGROUND

Applicant: Ralph Keeney

Current Owner: Ralph Keeney, Lois Keeney, Raloa Keeney

Agent: Raloa Keeney

Map and Tax lot: 20-03-04 -00-00300

Acreage: 6.3

. . . .

Current Zoning: F2 (Impacted Forest Land)

Date Property Acquired: February 22, 1960 (Warranty Deed 1862)

Date claim submitted: November 2, 2005

180-day deadline: May 13, 2007

Land Use Regulations in Effect at Date of Acquisition: Unzoned

Restrictive County land use regulation: Lane Code 16.211, F2 (Impacted

Forest) Zone

<u>ANALYSIS</u>

To have a valid claim against Lane County under Measure 37 and LC 2.700 through 2.770, the applicant must prove:

1. Lane County has enacted or enforced a restrictive land use regulation since the owner acquired the property, and

According to the County Assessor, the current owners of the subject property are Ralph, Lois and Raloa Keeney. It appears Ralph and Lois acquired an interest in the

property on February 22, 1960 (Warranty Deed 1862). The property was conveyed to Raloa Keeney on May 3, 2004, (Bargain and Sale Deed 2004-033810). According to this deed, Ralph and Lois Keeney retained the rights of survivorship and as such maintain an interest in the property.

2. The restrictive land use regulation has the effect of reducing the fair market value of the property, and

When Ralph and Lois Keeney acquired the property in 1960 it was unzoned. On February 29, 1984 the property was zoned to F2 (Impacted Forest). The property maintains a zone designation of F2. Within this zone, the minimum parcel size is 80 acres and new dwellings require a special use permit. The applicant has submitted a comparative sales analysis that alleges a reduction of value in the amount of \$375.000 due to restrictive land use regulations.

3. The restrictive land use regulation is not an exempt regulation as defined in LC 2.710.

The land division restrictions and special use permit requirements for LC16.211 do not appear to be exempt regulations.

CONCLUSION

It appears this is a valid claim.

RECOMMENDATION

It is the recommendation of the County Administrator that the Board adopt the attached order to waive the restrictive land use regulations applied to Ralph and Lois Kenney's property after they acquired an interest in it on February 22, 1960.

BEFORE THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF LANE COUNTY, OREGON

ORDER No.) IN THE MATTER OF CONSIDERING A BALLOT
) MEASURE 37 CLAIM AND DECIDING
) WHETHER TO MODIFY, REMOVE OR NOT
) APPLY RESTRICTIVE LAND USE
) REGULATIONS IN LIEU OF PROVIDING JUST
) COMPENSATION (PA05-6611, Ralph and Lois
Keeney)	•

WHEREAS, the voters of the State of Oregon passed Ballot Measure 37 on November 2, 2004, which added provisions to Oregon Revised Statutes (ORS) Chapter 197 to require, under certain circumstances, payment to landowner if a government land use regulation restricts the use of private real property and has the effect of reducing the property value; and

WHEREAS, the Board of County Commissioners of Lane County enacted Ordinance No. 18-04 on December 1, 2004, to establish a real property compensation claim application process in LC 2.700 through 2.770 for Ballot Measure 37 claims; and

WHEREAS, the County Administrator has reviewed an application for a Measure 37 claim submitted by Ralph and Lois Keeney (PA05-6611), the owner of real property described in the records of the Lane County Assessor as map 20-03-04-00, tax lot 300, consisting of approximately 6 acres in Lane County, Oregon; and

WHEREAS, the County Administrator has determined that the application appears to meet all of the criteria of LC 2.740(1)(a)-(d), appears to be eligible for just compensation and appears to require modification, removal or not applying the restrictive land use regulations in lieu of payment of just compensation and has referred the application to the Board for public hearing and confirmation that the application qualifies for further action under Measure 37 and LC 2.700 through 2.770; and

WHEREAS, the County Administrator has determined under LC 2.740(4) that modification, removal or not applying the restrictive land use regulation is necessary to avoid owner entitlement to just compensation under Ballot Measure 37 and made that recommendation to the Board; and

WHEREAS, the Board has reviewed the evidence and confirmed the application appears to qualify for compensation under Measure 37 but Lane County has not appropriated funds for compensation for Measure 37 claims and has no funds available for this purpose; and

WHEREAS, on January 23, 2007, the Board conducted a public hearing on the Measure 37 claim (PA05-6611) of Ralph and Lois Keeney and has now determined that the restrictive F2 (Impacted Forest) zone dwelling and land division requirements of LC 16.211 were enforced and made applicable to prevent the Ralph and Lois Keeney from developing the property as might have been allowed at the time it was acquired on February 22, 1960, and that the public benefit

from application of the current F2 dwelling and division land use regulations to the applicants' property is outweighed by the public burden of paying just compensation; and

WHEREAS, Ralph and Lois Keeney requests either \$375,000 as compensation for the reduction in value of this property, or waiver of all land use regulations that would restrict the division of land into multiple lots and placement of a dwelling on each lot, uses that could have otherwise been allowed at the time he acquired the property; and

WHEREAS, the Board finds that under LC 2.760(3) the public interest would be better served by modifying, removing or not applying the challenged land use regulations of the F2 zone to the subject property in the manner and for the reasons stated in the report and recommendation of the County Administrator incorporated here by this reference except as explicitly revised here to reflect Board deliberation and action to Ralph and Lois Keeney to make application for development of the subject property in a manner similar to what he could have been able to do under the regulations in effect when he acquired an interest in the property; and

WHEREAS, this matter having been fully considered by the Lane County Board of Commissioners.

NOW, THEREFORE IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the applicant, Ralph and Lois Keeney, made a valid claim under Ballot Measure 37 by describing the use being sought, identifying the county land use regulations prohibiting that use, submitting evidence that those land use regulations have the effect of reducing the value of the property, showing evidence that he acquired an interest in the property before the restrictive county land use regulations were enacted or enforced and the Board hereby elects not to pay just compensation but in lieu of payment, the request of Ralph and Lois Keeney shall be granted and the restrictive provisions of LC 16.211 enacted since Ralph and Lois Keeney acquired the property shall not apply, so Ralph and Lois Keeney can make application for approval to develop the property described in the records of the Lane County Assessor as map 20-03-04, tax lot 200, in a manner consistent with the land use regulations in effect when they acquired the property on February 22, 1960.

IT IS HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED Ralph and Lois Keeney still needs to make application and receive approval of any division of the property or placement of a dwelling under the other land use regulations applicable to dividing the property or placing a dwelling that were not specifically identified or established by Ralph and Lois Keeney as restricting the division of the property or placement of a dwelling, and it would be premature to not apply those regulations given the available evidence. To the extent necessary to effectuate the Board action to not apply the dwelling or division restrictions of the applicable zone described above, the claimant shall submit appropriate applications for review and approval of a new dwelling to show the specific development proposals and in the event additional county land use regulations result in a restriction of those uses that have the effect of reducing the fair market value of the property, the County Administrator shall have the authority to determine those restrictive county land use regulations that will not apply to that development proposal to preclude entitlement to just compensation under Measure 37, and return to the Board for action, if necessary. All other Lane Code land use and development regulations shall remain applicable to the subject property until such time as they are shown to be restrictive and that those restrictions reduce the fair market value of the subject property.

IT IS HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED that this action making certain Lane Code provisions inapplicable to use of the property by Ralph and Lois Keeney not constitute a waiver or modification of state land use regulations and does not authorize immediate division of the subject property or immediate construction of a dwelling. The requirements of state law may contain specific standards regulating development of the subject property and the applicant should contact the Department of Administrative Services (DAS - State Services Division, Risk Management - Measure 37 Unit, 1225 Ferry Street SE, U160, Salem, OR 97301-4292; Telephone: (503) 373-7475; website address: http://www.oregon.gov/DAS/Risk/M37.shtml) and have the State of Oregon evaluate a Measure 37 claim and provide evidence of final state action before seeking county land use approval.

IT IS HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED that the other county land use regulations and rules that still apply to the property require that land use, sanitation and building permits be approved by Lane County before any development can proceed. Notice of this decision shall be recorded in the county deed records. This order shall be effective and in effect as described in LC 2.770 and Ballot Measure 37 to the extent permitted by law. This order does not resolve several questions about the effect and application of Measure 37, including the question of whether the right of applicant to divide or build dwellings can be transferred to another owner. If the ruling of the Marion County Circuit Court in *MacPherson v. Dept. of Administrative Services*, (Marion County Circ. Ct. Case No. 00C15769, October 14, 2005) or any other court decision involving Ballot Measure 37 becomes final and that decision or any subsequent court decision has application to Lane County in a manner that affects the authority of this Board to grant relief under Ballot Measure 37 and LC 2.700 through 2.770 then the validity and effectiveness of this Order shall be governed by LC 2.770 and the ruling of the court.

DATED this	day of	, 2007.
		Faye Stewart, Chair
		Lane County Board of County Commissioners

APPROVED AS TO FORM